

605-097
605097

ON POSITIVE DEFINITE MATRICES
AND STIELTJES INTEGRALS

Richard Bellman

P-947

54

October 22, 1956

10-2

COPY	1	OF	1
HARD COPY	\$. 1.00		
MICROFICHE	\$. 0.50		

Approved for OTS release

9)

The RAND Corporation
1700 MAIN ST. • SANTA MONICA • CALIFORNIA

DDC
RECEIVED
OCT 24 1956
DDC-IRA E

SUMMARY

Let $X(t)$ be a continuous $n \times n$ symmetric matrix function of t for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, monotone in the sense that $X(t) - X(s)$ is non-negative definite for $t \geq s \geq 0$. Denote by $[X(t) - X(s)]^{1/2}$ the unique non-negative definite square root of $X(t) - X(s)$ for $t \geq s$. Take $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_N = 1$ to be a sub-division of $[0, 1]$ and consider the sum

$$S_N = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} [X(t_{i+1}) - X(t_i)]^{1/2} F(t_i) [X(t_{i+1}) - X(t_i)]^{1/2},$$

where $F(t)$ is a given continuous matrix function of t in $[0, 1]$.

It is shown that as $N \rightarrow \infty$, with $\max_i (t_{i+1} - t_i) \rightarrow 0$, S_N converges to a linear matrix function of F which we write

$$L(F) = \int_0^1 (dX)^{1/2} F(t) (dX)^{1/2}.$$

This is a generalized Riemann-Stieltjes integral for matrices.

ON POSITIVE DEFINITE MATRICES AND STIELTJES INTEGRALS

by

Richard Bellman

§1. Introduction.

In a recent paper, [1], we considered two generalizations of the Riemann-Stieltjes integral connected with the study of positive definite matrices. One extension was considered in full generality, the other only for 2×2 matrices.

In this paper, relying upon a result of Ali R. Amir-Moez, [3], concerning the variational characterization of the eigenvalues of symmetric matrices, we shall complete the second extension.

Our final result is a Riemann-Stieltjes integral for matrices, which can be extended to many other classes of non-commutative hypercomplex number systems. This will be discussed subsequently. The motivation for the present investigation arises from an extension of classical probability theory treated in [2].

§2. A Riemann-Stieltjes Integral for Matrices.

Let $X(t)$ be a continuous $n \times n$ symmetric matrix function of t for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, monotone in the sense that $X(t) - X(s)$ is non-negative definite for $1 \geq t \geq s \geq 0$. Denote by $[X(t) - X(s)]^{1/2}$ the unique non-negative definite square root of $X(t) - X(s)$ for $t \geq s$.

Take $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_N = 1$ to be a sub-division of the interval $[0, 1]$, and consider the sum

$$(1) \quad S_N = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} [X(t_{i+1}) - X(t_i)]^{1/2} F(t_i) [X(t_{i+1}) - X(t_i)]^{1/2},$$

where $F(t)$ is a given continuous matrix function of t in $[0, 1]$.

We wish to demonstrate the following:

Theorem 1. Let $\text{Max}_i (t_{i+1} - t_i) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Then S_N converges to a linear matrix functional of F , which we write

$$(2) \quad L(F) = \int_0^1 (dX)^{1/2} F(t) (dX)^{1/2}.$$

The proof of this result for (2×2) matrices is contained in [1]. Below we shall present a proof of the general result.

§3. Preliminaries.

It is sufficient to indicate the proof for the case where the sub-divisions possess a special form, $t_k = k/N$, with N assuming values of the form 2^M , $M = 1, 2, \dots$. In this case, every sub-division is a refinement of the preceding one. Standard techniques used in the scalar theory can be carried over to the matrix case to establish the general result.

Let us now show that S_N is a uniformly bounded matrix function. We have, for any n -dimensional vector y ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2) \quad (S_N y, y) &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left([X(t_{i+1}) - X(t_i)]^{1/2} P(t_i) [X(t_{i+1}) - X(t_i)]^{1/2} y, y \right) \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left(P(t_i) [X(t_{i+1}) - X(t_i)]^{1/2} y, [X(t_{i+1}) - X(t_i)]^{1/2} y \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $P(t)$ is continuous in $[0, 1]$, we have $(Pz, z) \leq m(z, z)$ for any z , for a fixed m . Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3) \quad (S_N y, y) &\leq m \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left([X(t_{i+1}) - X(t_i)]^{1/2} y, [X(t_{i+1}) - X(t_i)]^{1/2} y \right) \\
 &\leq m \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left(y, [X(t_{i+1}) - X(t_i)] y \right) \\
 &\leq m \left(y, [X(1) - X(0)] y \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the boundedness of S_N .

Since $X(t) - X(s)$ is symmetric, and non-negative definite, for $t \geq s$, we may write

$$(4) \quad X(t) - X(s) = T(t, s) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1(t, s) & & & 0 \\ & \lambda_2(t, s) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \lambda_n(t, s) \\ 0 & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} T'(t, s),$$

where $\lambda_i(t, s)$ are the characteristic roots of $X(t) - X(s)$, taken for the sake of definiteness in the order

$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$, and $T(t, s)$ is an orthogonal transformation which may be taken to be continuous in t and s for $1 \geq t \geq s \geq 0$.

Then we may write

$$(5) \quad [X(t) - X(s)]^{1/2} = T(t, s) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1(t, s)^{1/2} & & 0 \\ & \lambda_2(t, s)^{1/2} & \\ & & \ddots \\ 0 & & & \lambda_n(t, s)^{1/2} \end{pmatrix} T'(t, s)$$

As in [1], we may show that the convergence of S_N depends upon the convergence of sums of the form

$$(6) \quad S_N^{(k)} = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} g(t_i) \lambda_k(t_{i+1}, t_i),$$

$$R_N^{(j,k)} = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} h(t_i) (\lambda_j \lambda_k)^{1/2},$$

for $1 \leq j, k \leq n$, where $g(t)$ and $h(t)$ are continuous functions of t in $[0, 1]$. As in [1], it is sufficient to consider the case where g and h are constant.

The convergence of sums of the form $S_N^{(k)}$ has been considered in [1]. It remains to consider the sums $R_N^{(j,k)}$.

§4. Representation of Amir-Moez - Hoffman.

The result we require to treat the convergence of sums involving terms of the form $(\lambda_j \lambda_k)^{1/2}$ is

Theorem 2. Let A be a non-negative definite matrix with characteristic values $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$, and let i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k be integers such that $1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n$. Then

$$(1) \quad \lambda_{1_1} \lambda_{1_2} = \sup_{\substack{M_1 \subset M_2 \\ \dim M_p = 1_p}} \inf_{\substack{x_p \in M_p \\ \{x_p\} \text{ o.n.}}} \left| \begin{array}{cc} (Ax_1, x_1) & (Ax_1, x_2) \\ (Ax_2, x_1) & (Ax_2, x_2) \end{array} \right|.$$

This is a particular case of a general result of Amir-Móez, [3], found independently by A. J. Hoffman.

§5. A Lemma.

Finally, we require the simple

Lemma. If A and B are 2x2 non-negative definite matrices,
then

$$(1) \quad [\det(A + B)]^{1/2} \geq (\det A)^{1/2} + (\det B)^{1/2}.$$

A proof of this is given in [1], and is readily established by direct calculation.

§6. Proof of Theorem.

It is easy to see from the inequality $(\lambda_j \lambda_k)^{1/2} \leq (\lambda_j + \lambda_k)/2$, or otherwise, that each sum of the form $R_N^{(j,k)}$ is uniformly bounded for all N. Let us now establish the inequality

$$(1) \quad R_{2^{M+1}}^{(j,k)} \leq R_{2^M}^{(j,k)}.$$

This will demonstrate the convergence of $R_N^{(j,k)}$ for $N = 2^M$.

Using Theorem 2, we see that

$$(2) \quad [\lambda_j(t_{i+1}, t_i) \lambda_k(t_{i+1}, t_i)]^{1/2} = \text{Sup Inf} \left| \begin{pmatrix} (K_1 x_1, x_1) & (K_1 x_1, x_2) \\ (K_1 x_2, x_1) & (K_1 x_2, x_2) \end{pmatrix} \right|^{1/2},$$

where $K_1 = X(t_{i+1}) - X(t_i)$.

Let s_1, s_2, \dots, s_N be the additional points added to transform the N^{th} sub-division into the $(N+1)^{\text{st}}$ sub-division



Since

$$(3) \quad K_1 = X(t_{i+1}) - X(t_i) = [X(t_{i+1}) - X(s_{i+1})] + [X(s_{i+1}) - X(t_i)],$$

we see upon applying the lemma of §5 to the representation in (2) above, that

$$(4) \quad [\lambda_j(t_{i+1}, t_i) \lambda_k(t_{i+1}, t_i)]^{1/2} \geq [\lambda_j(t_{i+1}, s_{i+1}) \lambda_k(t_{i+1}, s_{i+1})]^{1/2} + [\lambda_j(s_{i+1}, t_i) \lambda_k(s_{i+1}, t_i)]^{1/2}.$$

This yields the desired monotonicity and completes the proof of Theorem 1.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. R. Bellman, Limit Theorems for Non-Commutative Process—II. On a Generalization of the Stieltjes Integral, Rendiconti del Palermo (to appear).
2. R. Bellman, On a Generalization of Classical Probability Theory—I. Markoff Chains, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., Vol. 39 (1953), pp. 1075-1077.
3. Ali R. Amir-Moéz, Extreme Properties of Eigenvalues of a Hermitian Transformation and Singular Values of the Sum and Product of a Linear Transformation, Duke Math. Jour., Vol. 23 (1956), pp. 463-477.